Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text, figures, and tables. |
| 2H.01 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising the proposed sign designations in Figure 2H-1 and Table 2H-1 back to those currently used in the 2009 MUTCD as these new sign designations will have a negative effect on highway agencies who would be forced to alter sign inventory programs to account for these revisions. These revisions may also introduce sign variation if some agencies choose to keep existing designations. NCUTCD also recommends including the existing I-11 Recycling sign in Figure 2H-1 and Table 2H-1 as some areas do not offer curbside pickup and directional information to recycling centers is still necessary. |
| Figure 2H-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends keeping current sign designations from the 2009 MUTCD and keeping I-11 sign as a standard sign and within Figure 2H-1. |
| 2H.02 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2H.02 as presented in the NPA. |
| Table 2H-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends keeping current sign designations from the 2009 MUTCD and keeping I-11 sign as a standard sign. |
| 2H.03 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2H.03 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2H.04 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2H.04 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2H.05 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2H.05 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2H.06 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Section 2H.06 as presented in the NPA, but offers one editorial correction. |
| 2H.07 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends the first paragraph of the standard statement be deleted, since this requirement is already covered in Section 1D.04 and because several states currently use overhead mounted State Welcome Signs. NCUTCD also recommends a revision to use the term “scanning graphics” to match recommended language for Section 2A.04. |
| 2H.08 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising language that references an incorrect sign. The sign that shows the proposed interstate designation number (I2-4a) shall not be used if the designation has yet to be approved by FHWA. |
| Figure 2H-2 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2H-2 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2H.09 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2H.09 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 2H-3 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2H-3 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2H.10 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2H.10 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 2H-4 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2H-4 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2H.11 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2H.11 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 2H-5 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2H-5 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 2H-6 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2H-6 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2H.12 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2H.12 as presented in the NPA, but offers one punctuation correction. |
| Figure 2H-7 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2H-7 as presented in the NPA. |
| Existing 2H.07 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with relocating Section 2H.07 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2H.13 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2H.13 as presented in the NPA, but recommends minor edits as shown including use of the term “scanning graphics” to match RWSTC recommended language in Section 2A.04. |
| Figure 2H-8 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2H-8 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2H.14 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising the proposed Standard statement requiring general service signs on approaches to interchanges within a signed Alternative Fuels Corridor to a Guidance statement. This proposed language conflicts with the FHWA policy memorandum referenced in NPA item 271 which states that applicable General Service signs should be installed, not shall. NCUTCD also recommends including other minor edits as shown. |
| Figure 2H-9 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2H-9 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 2H-10 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2H-10 as presented in the NPA. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |